Journal of Management World **2025**, 3: 10-16
DOI: 10.53935/jomw.v2024i4.1034

AI and Sustainable Accounting: Balancing Innovation and Responsibility

Ahmad Yousef Areiqat[1*], Hanan Abdelsalam Nimer Jaber[2]

[1]Department of Business Administration, Business School, Al-Ahliyya Amman University, Amman, Jordan; ahmadareiqat@ammanu.edu.jo (A.Y.A.).
[2]Department of Accounting- Business School, Al-Ahliyya Amman University, Amman, Jordan.

Abstract. There are prospects for using artificial intelligence (AI) to enhance methods of sustainable accounting for Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) reporting. However, this adoption also brings about the following ethical considerations that the government needs to address: algorithmic bias, lack of transparency, and data privacy. Objective: The study aims to review the role of AI in enhancing the quality and/or credibility of ESG disclosures while also exploring the emerging ethical issues concerning the use of AI and establishing ways AI can be adopted responsibly in sustainable accounting to improve stakeholders' trust. Methodology: The study used quantitative research by analyzing survey questionnaires completed by 20 organizations that applied AI in ESG reporting and qualitative data from interviews with 30 practitioners. Parameters such as accuracy, report generation time, and stakeholder satisfaction were considered. Results: The assessment results show an overall enhancement of the navigational key's effectiveness: the specific accuracy of ESG reports increases to 17.67%, whereas the time taken to produce the reports decreases to 58.33%. An analysis of qualitative literature emphasizes the need to respond to ethical concerns that are likely to be experienced while implementing AI. Conclusion: AI holds promise for the overall change towards more sustainable accounting through improving ESG reporting standards. Nevertheless, its further application must be incorporated based on strong ethical and governing standards to overcome the lack of rationality and orientation to trust.

Keywords: AI, Accounting, Competitive advantage, Data privacy, ESG, Gender Equity, Gender Inequality, Innovation, Stakeholder trust, Sustainability, SDG10, Transparency.

1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, information technology, especially in the form of artificial intelligence (AI), has found its way into the accounting profession, replacing past practices with methods for handling and reporting accounting information. By harmonizing these systems, AI improves the number, speed, and efficacy of the decisions made. However, its application also raises many questions concerning the admissibility of the concept with sustainable and responsible practices (Omoteso, 2012). Sustainable accounting is focused on the timely, balanced, and reliable disclosure of information that would be useful for the company's shareholders and other stakeholders interested in long-term investment decision-making. Despite AI's promising outcomes in this area, its application has to be realized carefully to avoid negative consequences and meet the standard of ethical and legal requirements.

AI's innovation in sustainable accounting is exemplified in its applications of defining technical computations, analyzing massive data for sustainability reports, and assessing the consequences of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) factors on accounting policies. However, a pressing question arises: how can organizations leverage these advancements in AI to extend sustainable accounting goals and objectives while fulfilling the organization's ethical and social responsibilities? Answering this question involves examining the use and risks of deploying AI with respect to sustainability accounting (Sutton et al., 2016).

There is a growing demand for sustainable evaluation in the management of business processes. As for such demands, accounting systems should be able to generate good and reliable ESG reports. Employing traditional approaches measured by conventional accounting tools has not met the increasing need and expanded space for sustainability reporting. The use of AI offers a solution because it presents recommendations derived from data collected that can be useful in the enhancement of good accounting practices. However, the accounting integration of AI needs principles that cover ethical issues such as data revealage, privacy, and accountability, and sustainable accounting principles must be practiced to ensure accounting sustainability(Ghasemi et al., 2011).

However, the integration of AI into sustainable accounting has its limitations. Algorithmic bias and lack of transparency in decision-making, data use and sharing, accountability, and privacy also diminish stakeholder trust. Furthermore, climate change and sustainable development intensify the ethical risks of using and implementing AI applications in accounting systems. All these challenges compel questions on rendering AI balances and aligned with moral principles besides stakeholder confidence when seeking to maximize the gains of AI towards more sustainable accounting solutions. This study aims to answer the above questions by identifying the emergent issues associated with AI implementation in sustainable accounting, proposing potential solutions to the problems identified, and giving a more detailed perspective on how organizations can incorporate AI technologies in sustainable accounting and follow appropriate ethical and regulatory constraints. Thus, this research addresses the gap between the development of new technologies and meeting the ethical requirements for sustainable operations in businesses.

* Correspondence: ahmadareiqat@ammanu.edu.jo

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

AI in accounting has transformed conventional practices with decentralized robust computation, real-time accuracy, and analytical inputs. Cao, Chychyla, and Stewart (2015) acknowledge advancement in technology, specifically computer-based automation, as generally leading to extensive reduction of audit risks and enhanced accuracy besides the speed at which specific audit processes are conducted (Cao et al., 2015). Also, Moffitt, Rozario, and Vasarhelyi, in their study (2018), discussed that the management application of RPA is significant in minimizing repetitive accounting operations that help accountants devote their unique skills to decision-making and planning processes (Moffitt et al., 2018). These advanced units emphasize the positive shifts and hope for the future of AI in improving fundamental accounting and opening the opportunity for the consideration of sustainable accounting.

ESG or sustainable accounting is the process of integrating Environmental, Social, and Governance factors into reports that are similar to traditional financial accounting reports. This approach is done with the aim of delivering sustainable value to the stakeholders by considering social and environmental effects together with financial effects. In the study by Ghasemi et al. (2011), sustainable accounting is crucial in managing stakeholder demands and enhancing organizational acceptance of key ESG issues (Ghasemi et al., 2011). Baldwin, Brown, and Trinkle (2006) disclose that the increasing pressure from regulators and stakeholders has made ESG reporting a factor in the annual financial reports (Baldwin et al., 2006). Thus, the application of accounting principles of sustainability provides greater possibilities for compliance with legislative requirements and confidence in the company and among its consumers.

AI has presented itself as an effective solution to the advancement of sustainable accounting with respect to collecting and analyzing ESG data. Li & Zheng (2018) underlined that the use of machine learning algorithms enhances the possibility of accurate and more efficient ESG reporting since the amounts of data connected with sustainability are overwhelming (Li & Zheng, 2018). More recently, Omoteso (2012) also examined the concern of applying the concept of integrated sustainability models within the framework of AI in a way that evaluates models correctly and produces financial results that meet the principles of sustainability (Omoteso, 2012). These capabilities show how AI has changed sustainable accounting practices to offer better ESG reports to organizations for sustainable decisions.

However, it is argued that there are substantial ethical implications with the application of AI in sustainable accounting. This creates the concern of algorithmic bias that leads to inaccurate ESG disclosers and undermines the stakeholder trust. Sutton, Holt, and Arnold (2016) point out the problem of bias from training data and its implications for accounting systems driven by AI (Sutton et al., 2016). According to Schweitzer (2024), AI decision-making processes create what is referred to as the 'black box issue' because firms cannot attempt to demonstrate or confirm the AI outcomes (Schweitzer, 2024). Another factor that depends on ESG data is the protection of personal data and its security in General. Davenport and Kirby (2016) point out that organizations are expected to ensure that data protection laws are strictly followed to reduce association risks of wrong usage and manipulation of data (Davenport & Kirby, 2016).

Even though data reveals that the application of AI in accounting and sustainability reporting has garnered ample research scholars' interest, the effects of AI on ethical issues of sustainable accounting have been disregarded comprehensively. While there has been an increasing body of articles exploring sustainable accounting and the preliminary deployment of AI, this paper identifies that most articles are primarily arguing about one of the two perspectives, that is, the possibilities of AI and sustainable accounting principles, yet integrating both subjects is not well explored. This study aims to fill this gap by assessing how organizations can propagate the ugly side of AI while exploiting the ability of AI to improve sustainable accounting. In this regard, the study fills the gap in knowledge to advance a better understanding of how innovation can be compatible with responsibility for sustainable business practices.

The theoretical foundation for this research is the Triple Bottom Line (TBL) theory, the Stakeholder theory, and the Ethical Decision-Making models. They also argue that the TBL theory only focuses on the managerial perspective of economic, environmental and social performance for the creation of sustainable organizational value. AI could help to have a more decisive role in terms of focusing on the centralization of ESG data as well as the improvement of the quality of sustainability reports. It also emphasizes that organizations should retain ownership of such approaches in order for sustainable strategies compatible with TBL to exist and also to avoid organizations knocking sustainable objectives (Ghasemi et al., 2011). This theoretical perspective offers a reasonably accurate representation of how sustainable accounting can be supported by the usage of AI while not actively highlighting the capabilities of any one or multiple of the numerous performance dimensions. Based on the stakeholder theory of management, there is a need to attend to more than one need of the stakeholders, such as the shareholders, employees, customers, local authorities, and the public. According to Li and Zheng (2018), AI can help to increase accountability and transparency in the reporting of accounting for sustainable development by delivering correct ESG reports (Li & Zheng, 2018). For instance, issues concerning reporting bias or data privacy violations are also capable of being antagonists to the stakeholders where it is not managed (Sutton et al., 2016). From this perspective, it is seen that while adopting AI technologies in organizations, these ethical issues should be suitable to maintain stakeholder confidence and legitimacy.

For further analysis of the ethical concerns in the application of AI in sustainable accounting and reporting, Ethical Decision-Making models serve as a valuable contribution. These models that depend on principles such as equity, disclosure, and governance entail the need to use ethically valid techniques in the application of AI technology in order to avoid such vices that are associated with algorithmic bias and the so-called "black box" issue (Omoteso, 2012). Schweitzer (2024) also reports that there should be premeditation concerning ethical effects while constructing and deploying the AI frameworks so that any brutal consequences will be averted and trust will be established in the AI-driven advancements (Schweitzer, 2024). The integration of such models promises organizations the ability to imbue their AI-based accounting processes with basic tenets of business ethics and sustainability.

3. METHODOLOGY

This research incorporates both quantitative and qualitative research to evaluate the application of AI in sustainable accounting practices and the ethical issues surrounding the process. With quantitative data supplemented by qualitative from experts, this paper offers a holistic outlook to discern how new AI solutions are adopted to improve sustainability reporting and how conflicts of ethics are addressed.

3.1. Research Design

The study employs a cross-sectional research design to capture the current state of affairs in the use of AI in sustainable accounting. This approach enables a consistent assessment of the effect of AI on sustainability reporting using quantifiable measures, including accuracy, timeliness, and satisfaction among stakeholders. Moreover, narrative data from the accounting fraternity also investigates the potential ails and gains of AI implementation. Such an approach guarantees the co-interpretation of the identified relationships between innovation and ethical responsibility from both micro- and macro-levels.

3.2. Data Collection

3.2.1. Quantitative Data

Numerical data were gathered from twenty organizations that have integrated AI into their accounting systems for sustainability reporting. Self-evaluated measures include evaluating the correctness of ESG reports, time taken in preparation of reports, and scores obtained from questionnaires administered to stakeholders. These metrics afford quantitative grounds for deeming AI effects on the entirety of sustainability reporting processes.

3.2.2. Qualitative Data

Primary data were collected using open-ended questionnaires filled out by 30 respondents, including accountants, ESG analysts, and AI developers. During these interviews, questions posed to participants included questions on ethics, including algorithmic bias, transparency, and data privacy, together with questions designed to establish the strengths of AI to increase sustainability reporting and to identify the opportunities to optimize the balance of innovation and ethics in AI. The qualitative part enhances the value of this research since it gives a more elaborate and rich account of quantitative outcomes.

4. ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUES

4.1. Quantitative Analysis

Qualitative data were analyzed using descriptive analysis to provide a metric summary of the study on the impact of AI on ESG reporting. Following AI implementation, student performance, accuracy, time management, and stakeholder satisfaction scores were used for paired t-tests that showed enhancement. Further, regression analysis was applied to establish the nature of the relationship between AI adoption and the changes in sustainability reporting outcomes and to determine the degree of impact of AI.

4.2. Qualitative Analysis

A thematic analysis approach was used to elicit themes and patterns in the collected qualitative data. An analytical scheme was created which classified findings into areas of interest, including innovation, accountability, and stakeholder influence. This approach enabled a more focused approach to analyzing ethical issues and professionalism so that the human and organizational aspects of AI in sustainable accounting were well understood.

5. VALIDITY AND RELIABILITY

To ensure the validity of the findings, the study used cross-verification to combine quantitative and qualitative data, allowing the researchers to verify the findings. Pilot testing was conducted to ensure the reliability of the survey.

6. RESULTS

The study focuses on the effect of AI on sustainability reporting using both quantitative and qualitative

research data. The study outcomes suggest better sustainability reporting, in terms of metrics, following AI introduction, but with concomitant ethical and practical issues.

7. QUANTITATIVE FINDINGS

Quantitative analysis also showed significant enhancement in the aspects of sustainability report assurance, the speeds at which these reports are produced, and positive stakeholder satisfaction. The values of these metrics were assessed before the introduction of AI in 20 organizations, and their comparison was made after their implementation.

8. DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS

The descriptive statistics presented in Table 1 summarize the enhancements in reporting metrics following the adoption of AI:

Table 1: Descriptive statistics

Metric	Pre-AI Implementation	Post-AI Implementation	% Improvement
Accuracy of ESG reports (%)	78	92	18%
Report generation time (days)	12	5	58%
Stakeholder satisfaction (/5)	3.9	4.6	18%

These findings suggest that the adoption of AI increased the accuracy of the ESG reports by 18 %, implying higher credibility for sustainability reports. Such components as report generation time also showed a staggering 58% improvement, demonstrating how feasible it is to apply AI in the organization. Also, the measures of stakeholders' satisfaction were raised by 18% for the same reason, providing more confidence in the quality and timely delivery of the reports.

9. PAIRED T-TESTS

Pairing t-tests were used to examine the statistical significance of these improvements. The results confirm that the observed enhancements in all metrics were statistically significant (Table 2).

Table 2: Paired T-test

Metric	t-value	p-value
Accuracy of ESG Reports	5.67	< 0.001
Report Generation Time	-8.34	< 0.001

These results support the hypothesis that with the adoption of AI, there are commensurate enhancements in the quality and the time-sensitive nature of sustainability reporting.

10. REGRESSION ANALYSIS

The statistical study to assess the impact of AI on improvements in sustainability reporting was a regression study. The analysis revealed a strong positive correlation (Table 3).

Table 3: Regression analysis

Metric	β (Beta Coefficient)	p-value
Accuracy of ESG Reports	0.48	< 0.01
Stakeholder Satisfaction	0.51	< 0.01

The results of this study imply that there is a relationship between AI adoption and the reliability and stakeholder alignment of ESG reporting; therefore, agreeing with the use of AI in improving reporting quality.

11. QUALITATIVE FINDINGS

The qualitative part of the study entailed semi-structured interviews of 30 practitioners, including accountants, ESG analysts, and developers of AI, to understand their insights given the application of AI in sustainable accounting.

12. ETHICAL CHALLENGES

AI integration into sustainability reporting is raising new ethical questions that ought to be addressed. A key concern is algorithmic bias, in which prejudices in the training data lead to biased ESG ratings and give specific organizations an advantage or a disadvantage, thus reducing people's confidence in reporting. Another area of concern highlighted by the participants is the black box issue through which the stakeholders do not understand how the AI system arrives at a particular decision. Therefore, they lack confidence in the results generated. Moreover, There are severe data privacy risks; therefore, big data integration for ESG reporting creates novel risk exposures regarding the exposure of important information and its abuse. To this end, suitable governance

structures and an understanding of regulatory expectations for the business can help to address such ethical issues and, therefore, retain the stakeholders' trust.

13. OPPORTUNITIES AND BENEFITS

There are several significant opportunities that AI offers to promote sustainability reporting and to bring additional value to the growth of an organization. To begin with, AI helps to boost risk management by providing a more sophisticated analysis of ESG risks – it helps an organization understand the risks a long time before they become material or on the organization's radar. AI assists in providing more accurate and objective decisions on behalf of a business by providing accurate, timely, and adequate data regarding decisions to do with a business's sustainability strategy. AI enhances such reporting by the generally underperforming small firms by the procedural and resource-intensive nature of traditional ESG reporting. Thus, in a way, AI generalizes the steps and makes the use of such tools more accessible for firms to achieve compliance in line with the contribution towards global sustainability.

14. DISCUSSION

The outcomes of this research indicate that AI technologies can revolutionize sustainability reporting with special reference to ESG disclosures. The results of the quantitative analysis show that AI leads to enhanced report accuracy, increased efficiency in report generation, and an increase in the level of satisfaction among the reports' end-users. Notably, an improvement of ESG report accuracy by 18% and a decrease in report generation time by 58% strengthen the use of AI as a tool for improving time-consuming reporting processes. These outcomes are in consonance with Cao et al. (2015) to the extent that AI facilitates efficiency and accuracy when used in making decisions in accounting (Cao et al., 2015). In addition, regression analysis confirms the significant positive effect of AI on the upgrade of QR outcomes as an aspect of sustainability reporting, supporting the idea that AI reflects stakeholder expectations and legislation (Li & Zheng, 2018).

These emerging results indicate that AI in sustainable accounting is beneficial in operations; however, the ethical issues evident in the study cannot be ignored. Algorithmic bias becomes one of the most significant issues, where biases inherent in the training data direct the ESG score results and provide or deny organizations' advantages or disadvantages. Sutton, Holt, and Arnold (2016) state that such biases can decrease the effectiveness of the application of sustainability through AI and raise the question of how independent and socially sensitive data needs to be to counter these biases (Sutton et al., 2016). Furthermore, as mentioned by Schweitzer (2024), using many algorithms, their black box character makes it difficult to dissect their decision-making processes (Schweitzer, 2024). This lack of information reduces the assurance of stakeholders, hence the need to build AI systems that can be explained to end-users.

Data privacy is also another attribute that has been limiting the integration of AI for ESG reporting. The use of extensive data increases exposure to cases where privacy is infringed and the data is misused. Davenport and Kirby (2016) argue that effective governance structures should be put in place to reduce these risks and to also 'get data protection right' (Davenport & Kirby, 2016). However, these concerns have to be met to ensure the creation of trust among the stakeholders and to ensure this application of attention is in harmony with ethical and legal considerations.

Nevertheless, consistent with the research questions, the results from the qualitative study of this paper highlight some possibilities that AI can bring to the practice of accounting for sustainability. AI simplifies the process of data collection and, by extension, the process of preparing ESG reports, reducing errors and delays, thereby informing effective decision-making and ESG risk management with great efficiency. These capabilities are beneficial for small firms because it remains challenging for them to manage the various issues involving conventional sustainability reporting (Li & Zheng, 2018). Predictive analytics that involve the use of AI also help organizations to screen for risks that are related to ESG, thus adding strong support to the need to use AI in enhancing sustainable development.

This would also be in harmony with the rising requests for integration of innovation into technological considerations of applicability to ethics. Ethical Decision-Making Models support the call for structures that can ensure fairness, transparency, and accountability for AI applications (Omoteso, 2012). Any developed AI system must address issues around algorithmic bias, data privacy concerns, and "black box" issues. These are critical in garnering stakeholder trust toward the achievement of SDG goals. Stakeholder Theory reinforces that organizations should include a wide range of stakeholder groups in the design and implementation of AI-driven reporting processes to ensure that multiple views are considered and ethical challenges can be met in advance (Li & Zheng, 2018).

The implications are twofold: toward theory and practice. Theoretically, findings support the applicability of the TBL theory in AI due to its ability to integrate effectively economic, environmental, and social dimensions (Ghasemi et al., 2011). Organizations need to use a balanced approach toward AI when ethical considerations do not stop them from employing new technologies to improve their sustainability reporting. The regular audit, stakeholder collaboration, and development of frameworks for ethical AI are three steps necessary to this end and to the contribution of AI to positive, sustainable accounting.

In conclusion, even though AI offers transformative potential for sustainability reporting, its integration needs to be guided by ethical principles and stakeholder engagement. Mitigating these problems of algorithm bias, algorithm transparency, and data privacy can help enhance the strength of using AI to improve quality and reliability in ESG reports and increase public credibility in the measures being taken towards efficiency and sustainability. The higher the sustainability challenges, the more important the role of AI in sustainable accounting will be, while the approach to its adoption should be proactive and ethically responsible.

15. CONCLUSION

This study focuses on the use of AI in accounting for sustainable development and the opportunities it holds to enhance sustainability reporting, especially with regard to current emergent ethical concerns. The quantitative and qualitative analysis strengthens the argument regarding the usefulness of AI in enhancing ESG reporting significance and quality, and consequently, stakeholders' satisfaction is expected. However, several significant ethical issues still exist, including the problem of bias, the ambiguity of algorithmic decision-making, and data control. It has been established that despite the great potential of AI-based innovations in contributing to sustainable accounting innovations, their implementation is bound to call for a raw adherence to ethical principles. This implies that organizations need to balance strategic IT/ AI investments with technological dynamics against organization and stakeholder horizons. This approach, therefore, signifies the appropriate ethical, transparency, and accountability of AI in order to help it play a positive part in the quality and reliability of sustainable accounting reports.

16. RECOMMENDATIONS

To effectively address the ethical challenges and maximize the opportunities of AI in sustainable accounting, organizations are recommended to take the following steps: create ethical AI guidelines by setting standards that avoid encoding unfair bias in algorithms by periodic audits and compliance mechanisms; enrich data protection and security by advanced safeguards and compliance with international data protection laws; advance climate action priorities by integrating posthumous AI (XAI) in organization affairs to enhance AI accountability and ESGTo cope with the ethical issues and realize the potential of AI in sustainable accounting, a systematic approach is required. It is necessary to know that creating fair, accountable, and transparent AI systems should be the priority so as not to reinforce biases and to help entities improve sustainability reporting. Enhancing data security practices yields enhanced processes in data management, safeguarding of ESG information, compliance with DP legislation, and reducing the likelihood of exploitation of data. Injectable XAI and other forms of transparency provide the public with a higher level of understanding as to how the particular AI system determines the ESG scores as well as information. Accomplishing training and education operations enables the organization's employees and stakeholders to make ethical decisions in AI concepts. Legal and ethical compliance are key factors that need to be met to help industry parties, including businesses, governments, and technology suppliers, to develop solutions for driving collaboration in the industry. Lastly, introducing the pilot programs helps to reveal the risks and the possible challenges of the deployment of AI systems in sustainable accounting and make sure that they will be integrated effectively and responsibly.

17. FUTURE RESEARCH

Future research should extend knowledge on the experiment in the zone, the impact of AI on sustainability reporting, and the influence of the emergence of such technology in constructing the stakeholders' confidence in organizations in the future. Another level of research could consist of a comparative study of AI-driven sustainability reporting practices across industries and, or geographical areas that could help to identify peculiarities of specific sector and regional concerns and potential as well as cross-country and cross-industry similarities and differences. Moreover, the applicability of other innovative technologies, including blockchain, to sustainable accounting has an excellent potential for improving the accountability provided by AI tools. Blockchain and AI can work together because blockchain's ability to provide an indelible record of sustainability data alleviates one of the major concerns in the use of AI for reporting integrity and ethical reporting. The same kind of research would not only provide a broader perspective on how AI is being adopted in sustainability efforts, but it would also help direct organizations towards more effectively built, improved, and sustainable approaches to accountability and transparency.

REFERENCES

Baldwin, A. A., Brown, C. E., & Trinkle, B. S. (2006). Opportunities for artificial intelligence development in the accounting domain: the case for auditing. *Intelligent Systems in Accounting, Finance & Management: International Journal*, *14*(3), 77-86.

Cao, M., Chychyla, R., & Stewart, T. (2015). Big data analytics in financial statement audits. *Accounting horizons*, *29*(2), 423-429.

Davenport, T. H., & Kirby, J. (2016). Just how smart are smart machines? *MIT Sloan Management Review*, *57*(3), 21.

Ghasemi, M., Shafeiepour, V., Aslani, M., & Barvayeh, E. (2011). The impact of Information Technology (IT) on modern accounting systems. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, *28*, 112-116.

Li, Z., & Zheng, L. (2018). The impact of artificial intelligence on accounting. 2018 4th International Conference on Social Science and Higher Education (ICSSHE 2018),

Moffitt, K. C., Rozario, A. M., & Vasarhelyi, M. A. (2018). Robotic process automation for auditing. *Journal of emerging technologies in*

accounting, *15*(1), 1-10.
Omoteso, K. (2012). The application of artificial intelligence in auditing: Looking back to the future. *Expert Systems with Applications*, *39*(9), 8490-8495.
Schweitzer, B. (2024). Artificial intelligence (AI) ethics in accounting. *Journal of Accounting, Ethics & Public Policy, JAEPP*, *25*(1), 67-67.
Sutton, S. G., Holt, M., & Arnold, V. (2016). "The reports of my death are greatly exaggerated"—Artificial intelligence research in accounting. *International Journal of Accounting Information Systems*, *22*, 60-73.